UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,439	06/06/2007	Keiji Kuma	1417-551 1782		
	23117 7590 01/15/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EXAMINER	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/591,439	KUMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/1/06.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate				

10/591,439 Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amadio et al (US 6,043,399).

The claims are drawn to a method for the continuous preparation of cumene hydroperoxide by oxidation of cumene with an oxygen-containing gas, wherein the oxygen content of said gas is from 22 mol% to 50 mol%.

Amadio et al teach a process for preparing cumene hydroperoxide by oxidizing cumene with an oxygen-containing gas, such as oxygen-enriched air, wherein the oxygen content introduced to the reaction mixture is at least 8% and preferably at least 20%. The amount of oxygen in the gases departing the reactors is kept below 6.5 %. The cumene hydroperoxide produced is then decomposed to phenol and acetone (col. 3, line 18 to col. 4, line 23).

The difference between Amadio et al and the instant claims is that Amadio et al do not expressly state that the oxygen content of the oxygen-containing gas is from 22 mol% to 50 mol%; however, the instant claims are rendered obvious since the reference teaches an oxygen content of preferably at least 20 %. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have adjusted the oxygen content accordingly, in order to obtain an

Application/Control Number:

10/591,439

Art Unit: 1621

optimal oxygen content for oxidizing cumene to its hydroperoxide, while avoiding under oxidation or over oxidation of cumene.

Claims 5, 9, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amadio et al as applied to claims 1-4, 6-8, and 13 above, and further in view of Zakoshansky et al (US 5,767,322).

The instant claims recite the oxygen-containing gas being fed to reactor using a sparger. Amadio et al do not expressly teach a sparger, but teach that an "means for introducing gas containing oxygen" can be used (col. 4, lines 15-16). However, Zakoshansky et al teach cumene oxidation process wherein an air sparger used to feed air to the oxidation reactor (col. 7, lines 32-33). The combined reference teaching therefore renders the instant claims obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/591,439

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

saw

SIKARL A. WITHERSPOON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sikal A. Wotherson